

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
AKIRA UNNO, et al.) : Examiner: Matthew W. Such
Application No.: 10/532,078) : Group Art Unit: 2891
Intl. Filing Date: October 8, 2004) :
371(c) Date: April 21, 2005) :
For: ORGANIC SEMICONDUCTOR)
DEVICE, PROCESS FOR :
PRODUCING THE SAME,)
AND ORGANIC :
SEMICONDUCTOR)
APPARATUS : July 26, 2007

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated June 27, 2007, Applicants hereby provisionally elect to prosecute the Group I claims, namely Claims 1 to 4 and 8 to 18. The Restriction Requirement is, however, traversed.

Traversal is on the ground that there would not be undue burden in examining the Group I to VI claims in a single application. In particular, MPEP § 808 makes clear that in order to require restriction between independent or distinct inventions,

reasons for insisting upon a restriction requirement, such as undue burden, must also be shown. In the present instance, it is not believed that there would be an undue burden in examining the claims of Groups I to VI in a single application, since the groups of claims are not so different as would require a burden on the Examiner that is significantly beyond that of the normal burdens of examination.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement are respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Gregory S. Weaver, #53,751/
Gregory S. Weaver
Attorney for Applicants

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

FCHS_WS 1476081v1